From Desire to Design: Systems Thinking as a Framework for Personal and Organisational Effectiveness

Stephen Bray, Family Business Consultant

Synopsis:
This paper examines the role of systems thinking in converting personal goals and organisational objectives into structured, measurable outcomes. Drawing upon principles from soft systems methodology (Checkland, 1981), the paper reframes motivation and emotional energy as unreliable drivers of change, proposing instead that structured systems defined by triggers, processes, and feedback loops. These create replicable, self-improving behaviours. The use of memos and audit frameworks is explored as a means to externalise cognition, reduce friction, and improve alignment across teams. The argument is made that systems, when correctly designed and maintained, reduce decision fatigue, eliminate unnecessary complexity, and enable scalability both for individuals and institutions.

Introduction: Goals vs. Systems

While goal-setting has traditionally been framed as the cornerstone of personal growth and organisational strategy, systems thinking presents a more robust paradigm. Rather than relying on emotional spikes or aspirational targets, systems thinking focuses on structure, iteration, and continual improvement. Peter Checkland's *Soft Systems Methodology* (Checkland, 1981) introduced a vital distinction in this regard: messy human situations rarely benefit from hard engineering alone. Instead, they demand systemic models that incorporate learning, complexity, and human perspective.

In this spirit, the distinction between goals (which are directional) and systems (which are operational) becomes essential. Goals may orient us, but systems—when well-designed—move us. This paper explores how simple, structured systems outperform emotional resolve in both personal and organisational settings. The premise: you don't rise to the level of your goals, you fall to the level of your systems.

The Anatomy of an Effective System

Systems can be understood as self-regulating loops consisting of:

- Triggers: Environmental or time-based cues that initiate a behavior.
- Processes: Defined, repeatable sequences of action.
- Tracking: Real-time or retrospective feedback that determines whether the system is functioning as intended.

This framework aligns closely with the cybernetic loop model (Beer, 1979), where inputs (goals) only produce desired outcomes when regulated through an adaptive mechanism.

Example:
A vague aspiration to "get fit" becomes actionable when converted into:
Trigger – alarm at 7:00 AM
Process – walk to gym in pre-set clothes
Tracking – log completed workout in an app

Memo Culture as Systems Thinking in Practice

Memos function as boundary objects (Star & Griesemer, 1989) that translate mental processes into shared reference points. In soft systems methodology, the memo acts as a form of *rich picture*—a pre-meeting artefact that clarifies roles, expectations, and risks before energy is expended.

A structured memo forces:

- Decision-making ahead of execution
- Clarification of ownership and accountability
- Removal of ambiguity from communication

In effect, the memo is not just a document—it is a system design tool. It prevents systems from being born vague, reactive, or ad hoc.

Meetings as Systems

Most organisations run meetings as rituals rather than mechanisms. When treated as systems, however, meetings gain structure, purpose, and accountability.

A well-designed meeting system includes:

- Trigger: Calendar invite with pre-distributed memo
- Process: Time-boxed agenda, roles assigned, decisions documented
- Tracking: Post-meeting debrief or rating system

This echoes the *VSM (Viable System Model)* principle that subsystems must audit themselves for a larger system to evolve (Beer, 1979).

Reducing Friction: The Role of Pre-Decision

Systems that succeed do so because they reduce *cognitive load*. In personal development contexts, decision fatigue leads to abandonment of goals (Baumeister & Tierney, 2011). Peter Checkland (1981) reminds us that systems must account for both rational process and emotional participation; humans are not passive components but active interpreters within

any system. Therefore, emotional resistance isn't just a byproduct of poor willpower—it's a design failure.

Well-engineered systems front-load decisions so they need not be made repeatedly. A pre-decided path removes micro-friction. Consider how many decisions are required to attend a workout without a system: What time? What clothes? What gym? What routine? By contrast, a strong system erases deliberation and automates adherence.

From a Checklandian view, this is more than efficiency—it's *accommodation*. Systems must be culturally and psychologically sustainable for those who use them. When friction is reduced, the system becomes lived—not just theorised.

System Maintenance: Feedback Loops and Anti-Fragility

A system without feedback becomes obsolete. The audit process—"What worked? What didn't?"—is not merely evaluative but *generative*. It makes the system anti-fragile (Taleb, 2012): better through failure, not despite it.

Just as ecosystems evolve via iterative feedback, human systems require reflection and refinement. If meetings aren't scored, they drift. If memos aren't updated, they stagnate. Systems must be living artefacts.

From Grit to Infrastructure

The cultural ideal of high performance through grit is unsustainable. In systems thinking, performance is not a product of effort but of architecture. Systems allow output to scale without proportional increase in strain.

> "Don't be the machine. Build the machine."

This is the shift from individual exertion to systemic leverage, from discipline to design. It aligns closely with the aims of soft systems methodology: to transform messy situations into organised, self-correcting structures (Checkland, 1981).

Conclusion: Systemisation as a Path to Freedom

True freedom comes not from avoiding structure but from embedding it. Systems liberate cognitive bandwidth, reduce reactivity, and enable agency. Whether applied to health, meetings, creativity, or leadership, systems thinking provides a framework that privileges function over feeling, execution over excitement.

The ultimate aim is not perfection—but repeatability. And in repeatability lies trust.

References

Beer, S. (1979). *The Heart of Enterprise*. Chichester: Wiley.
Baumeister, R. F., & Tierney, J. (2011). *Willpower: Rediscovering the Greatest Human Strength*. New York: Penguin.

Checkland, P. (1981). *Systems Thinking, Systems Practice*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
Clear, J. (2018). *Atomic Habits: An Easy & Proven Way to Build Good Habits & Break Bad Ones*. New York: Avery.
Duhigg, C. (2012). *The Power of Habit: Why We Do What We Do in Life and Business*. New York: Random House.
Star, S. L., & Griesemer, J. R. (1989). "Institutional Ecology, 'Translations' and Boundary Objects: Amateurs and Professionals in Berkeley's Museum of Vertebrate Zoology." *Social Studies of Science*, 19(3), 387–420.
Taleb, N. N. (2012). *Antifragile: Things That Gain from Disorder*. New York: Random House.