

For many retired investors, dividend-paying shares feel like a safe haven. They offer

regular income, a sense of stability, and often belong to well-known companies that

have been around for decades. On the surface, it makes perfect sense: why not earn

4%, 5%, or even 6% a year while holding solid, blue-chip names?

But after working with hundreds of experienced investors — and managing my own

portfolios for over 25 years — I can tell you that this is often where the danger begins.

Not because dividends are bad, but because they can give the illusion of safety when

the underlying investment is anything but.

At Market Insider, we speak with a lot of investors. The story is often the same: "As

long as the dividend is still being paid, I'm not too worried about the share price." That

may sound sensible on the surface, but it's actually one of the riskiest strategies out

there.

Let me explain why.

The Red Herring of Income

Dividends are often seen as a badge of honour — a sign of financial strength,

consistency, and reliability. But that perception can become a trap. When a stock is

paying 5-6% in annual income, it creates a psychological cushion. Investors feel

reassured. "Even if the price drops a little, I'm still being paid," they think.

But what happens when that price drops by 20%?

You've made your 6%, yes — but lost 20% in capital. You're now down 14% overall, and

it could take three years or more just to get back to even. That's assuming the dividend

doesn't get cut — and in many cases, it does. When a company's fundamentals

deteriorate, income is usually the first thing to go.

Stop Chasing Dividends

Yet many investors don't react. They hold on. They wait. They stop paying attention to

what the market is telling them.

Why?

Because the dividend payment gives them a false sense of security.

Why Dividend Stocks Can Be Riskier

Ironically, income-paying shares can sometimes be riskier than non-dividend stocks not because of the companies themselves, but because of how investors behave

around them.

When a growth stock pays no income, investors are far more cautious. They track

performance, they monitor news, and they're quick to reassess their holdings. But

when a dividend stock is paying regularly, many investors stop thinking about the

capital side. It's as if their defences go down. "As long as it pays me, I'm fine," they say.

But if you wouldn't ignore a 20% capital loss on a tech stock, why ignore it on a utility

or bank share?

The danger isn't the dividend. The danger is complacency.

What We've Learned from Real Investors

Over the years, I've spoken to countless investors — especially retirees — who

genuinely believe they're being "conservative" by sticking with dividend stocks. But

when you dig deeper, many of them are sitting on heavy capital losses. And worse —

they've accepted those losses as part of the deal.

MARKET INSIDER WHEEL VARIANCESON WITTE FROOT

In reality, it doesn't have to be that way.

With the right system, you can still benefit from income — but with protection in place.

That's where the DIP Strategy comes in.

The Role of Structure: Enter the DIP Strategy

The DIP Strategy isn't about trading. It's not about trying to beat the market or pick the

next hot stock. It's about having a repeatable structure that tells you when to stay in —

and when to get out.

One of the key elements of the DIP Strategy is the use of stop losses — something

surprisingly few income investors use. If a share falls below a certain level, it's sold. No

emotion. No second-guessing. That cash then gets recycled back into stronger

positions.

It's not about panic selling. It's about disciplined reallocation.

And here's the truth: most of the income-focused investors we work with at Market

Insider are already holding decent stocks. The issue isn't the selection — it's the lack

of exit strategy.

By combining income with timing, we've helped investors avoid large drawdowns and

turn stagnant portfolios into dynamic, income-generating machines that still protect

capital.

A Better Approach to Retirement Income

If you're retired and drawing income from your portfolio, here's a simple question: is

your strategy built to protect capital, or just pay income?

MARKET INSIDER WHEE AND HLOOD METTS PROPET

Because if it's the latter, then you may be exposing yourself to more risk than you

realise.

What you really want is a strategy that does both: protect capital and generate

income. That means having rules. It means having a plan. And it means not holding on

to companies just because they pay out a quarterly cheque.

That's what we do. We help investors build a better version of what they already have.

We don't take control of your money. We don't give advice. But we do give research,

tools, and systems that help experienced investors make better decisions.

Final Thought

Dividends are not the enemy. But they're not the answer either.

They are part of the picture — not the whole picture. And if you're ignoring the capital

side, you're leaving your portfolio exposed.

The worst mistake a retired investor can make is thinking they're safe when they're

not. The second worst is assuming that a 6% yield makes up for a 20% drop in value.

It doesn't.

If you want to keep growing your income and protecting what you've built — then you

need to invest with more intent.

That's what we help people do.



The Dividend Trap: Why Income Isn't Always Safety for Retired Investors

Dividends can be a valuable part of a retirement strategy — but only when paired with a clear plan for protecting capital. Relying solely on income while ignoring price movements is a recipe for long-term losses. True financial security in retirement comes from combining income generation with disciplined risk management.

With the right system in place, like the DIP Strategy, investors can enjoy steady income and safeguard what they've worked so hard to build. Don't mistake payouts for protection — build a strategy that gives you both.



